

11A 脸识别

虽然人类认知非常有趣,对人类认知的分子研究却罕见。通常,先在动物研究某些基因,然后在人类研究它们。这种途径限制了研究在动物必需有的表型,而且常常是低等动物,因为在非人灵长类动物很难通过功能研究发现基因。因此,对于只在人类存在的认知、或在低等动物不存在的认知进行分子研究,远远落后于对于简单行为的分子研究。同种动物之间相互识别脸既是很高级的视觉认知,也是社会认知。对此,科学发掘了很多现象,也有一些机理研究,但理解有限。

11A1 脸识别能力

人识别脸的能力强于黑猩猩和猴 (Rosenfeld and van Hoesen, 1979; Parr, 2011)。黑猩猩、猴、绵羊、鸟类 (鸡和鸽)、狗等动物也有脸识别细胞 (Kendrick and Baldwin, 1987; Ryan and Lea, 1994; Kendrick *et al.*, 1996; Pascalis and Kelly, 2009)。绵羊不仅有识别绵羊脸的细胞,还有识别人脸、狗脸的细胞 (Kendrick and Baldwin, 1987)。羊羔一到两个月认识母亲的脸 (Kendrick *et al.*, 1998)。雌绵羊还对雄绵羊的脸有偏好 (Kendrick *et al.*, 1995)。低等动物一般依赖嗅觉,但有两种蜂 (*wasps*, *Polistes fuscatus* 和 *Polistes metricus*), *P fuscatus* 是群居的、*P metricus* 是独居的,前者有识别个体脸的能力,后者没有 (Sheehan and Tibbets, 2011)。




图 11-19 倒置效应

脸识别能力有倒置效应,对正立的脸敏感性远远大于倒置的脸 (Yin, 1969; Thompson, 1980)。

用行为检测显示人对脸的关注在出生很早期就可能出现:9 分钟左右就对脸的反应大于其他 (Goren, Sarty and Wu, 1975),5 周就注视脸 (Haith, Bergman and Moore, 1977),对脸是否好看也有不同的反应 (Slater, 1998, 2000)。在 4 天区分不带围巾的母亲与其他人的脸、35 天区分带围巾的母亲和其他人的脸 (Bushnell, Sai and Mullin, 1989; Walton, Bower and Bower, 1992; Pascalis *et al.*, 1995; Bruce *et al.*, 2000; Bartrip, Morton and De Schonen, 2001)。3 个月识别熟悉的脸 (De Haan *et al.*, 2001)。用 fMRI 检测观察到,两个月的婴儿的对脸反应脑区被脸激活情况类似成人,但脸还激活婴儿的语言区域 (Tzourio-Mazoyer *et al.*, 2002)。脸激活与成人一样在 9 岁儿童 (Gathers *et al.*, 2004)、或 12 岁 (Golarai *et al.*, 2007)。黑猩猩在 4 周左右识别母亲的脸 (Myowa-Yamakoshia, 2005)。

11A2 对脸特异反应的神经细胞

1980 年代的一系列电生理实验证明猴的神经元对脸有特异反应 (Bruce, Desimone and Gross, 1981; Perret, Rolls and Caan, 1982; Desimone *et al.*, 1984; Perrett *et al.*, 1984, 1985a, 1985, 1988b; Rolls, Baylis and Leonard, 1985; Saito *et al.*, 1986; Perret, Mistlin and Chitty, 1987)。

初级视皮层 V1 继续投射到更高的区域,分为识别 where (物体空间位置) 的背侧通路和识别 what (物体本征) 的腹侧通路。

腹侧通路从 V1 到 V2、V4、及更远的区域,其可分辨的图像特征越来越复杂 (Kobatake and Tanaka, 1994)。颞下皮层 (inferotemporal cortex, IT) 可以识别更复杂的图形,如:圆、方块、多刺圆、手等 (Gross, Bender and Rocha-Miranda, 1969; Gross, Rocha-Miranda and Bender, 1972)。

普林斯顿大学心理系科学家在猴的 IT (Gross, Rocha-Miranda and Bender, 1972; Perrett *et al.*, 1982; Desimone *et al.*, 1984) 和颞上回 (superior temporal sulcus, STS) (Bruce *et al.*, 1981) 发现了识别脸的细胞,其中 IT 的脸识别细胞几乎都对脸特异反应,而对其他物体没有反应 (Desimone *et al.*, 1984)。

STS 多感觉区域有只对脸反应的细胞 (Bruce *et al.*, 1981)。例如,记录 497 个 STS 细胞,

48 个只对脸反应，被脸持续激活，28 个细胞在脸有转向、或颜色、大小、距离变化后反应不变 (Perret, Rolls and Caan, 1982)。早期在 IT 一次记录中，41 个没有反应，110 个有反应的细胞中，66 个有选择性反应，其中 20 对形状反应、2 个对手反应、3 个对脸有选择性反应 (Desimone *et al.*, 1984)。可以比较对脸和物体、脸和身体的反应，找到对这三种分别有选择性反应的细胞 (Pinsk *et al.*, 2005)。通过 fMRI 辅助确定电生理电极插入位置，可以找到特定区域内 97% 的细胞都对脸有选择性反应 (Tsao *et al.*, 2006; Friewald, Tsao and Livingston, 2007)，说明有脸特异区块 (patch)。用脑表面光学成像观察，可以看到对脸呈现有选择性反应的脑区紧密相连 (Wang, Tanaka and Tanifuji, 1996, 1998)。从而提出可能有脸朝向的功能柱 (Tanaka, 2003)。功能核磁共振实验也支持具有相似朝向选择性的面孔细胞在皮层上聚集 (Dubois *et al.*, 2015)。

脸识别细胞对于脸的要求是一个圆加两点一杠 (大体相当于脸、眼和嘴) (Kobatake and Tanaka, 1994)。对脸有全面的识别和部件的敏感 (Freiwald, Tsao and Livingston, 2009)。在猴的脸识别细胞研究中，提出抑制性神经元可能对于脸识别很重要，去除 GABA 的抑制性作用后，原对脸 (和其他物体) 有特异反应的细胞失去反应特异性 (Wang, Fujita and Murayama, 2000)。用微电流刺激猴的面孔加工脑区 50-200 毫秒，可以增加其对脸的反应以及对个人面孔的识别 (Afraz, Kiani and Esteky, 2006; Moelle *et al.*, 2017)。

猕猴面孔脑区中较低级区域的神经元对面孔朝向非常敏感，而高级区域的神经元则可区分不同个体的面孔，且反应不依赖于面孔朝向，说明高级区域表征面孔个体这一抽象概念 (Freiwald and Tsao, 2010)。进一步的研究对面孔个体的具体编码方式进行了探索，用计算模型生成上千张参数化的面孔，给动物呈现面孔图片的同时记录猕猴的面孔脑区，发现面孔细胞的反应和面孔模型中的抽象特征呈简单的线性关系，从~200 个细胞的反应可相当准确地重构呈现给动物的原始面孔 (Chang and Tsao, 2017)。

人对脸反应的脑区类似于猴 (Tsao *et al.*, 2003; Tsao, Moellet and Freiwald, 2008; Pinsk *et al.*, 2009; Srihasam *et al.*, 2012)。在开颅手术的病人经过允许能用颅内记录事件相关电位改变 (Allison *et al.*, 1999; McCarthy *et al.*, 1999, Puce, Allison and McCarthy, 1999)，记录到脸特异反应。也直接记录到神经细胞对脸反应 (Kreiman, Koch and Fried, 2000)。更多的是用正电子扫描 (PET) (Sergent, Ohta and MacDonald, 1992; Haxby *et al.*, 1994) 和 fMRI (Malach *et al.*, 1995; Puce *et al.*, 1996; Clark *et al.*, 1996; Kanwisher, McDermott and Chun, 1997; McCarthy *et al.*, 1997)。可以分别观察几个脑区 (FFA、OFA 和 fSTS) 对脸的部件和构型的敏感性 (Liu, Harris and Kanwisher, 2010)。跨颅磁刺激 (TMS) 是一种研究脑功能的方法 (Walsh and Cowey, 2000)。用 TMS 作用于特定脑区，可以观察到脸反应的变化 (Pitcher *et al.*, 2007, 2008, 2009)。FFA 对脸的部件和构型都敏感，OFA 和 fSTS 只对真的脸部件反应、对其构型不反应 (Dzhelyova, Ellison and Atkinson, 2010)。

11A3 先天脸盲的遗传性

人类有不能识别脸的个体，诊断为脸盲 (prosopagnosia, faceblind) (Bodamer, 1947)，分为先天型 (发育型) 和获得型。脸盲者可以识别其他物体，而不能识别脸 (Farah, Levinson and Klein, 1995; Farah, 1996; Henke *et al.*, 1998; Nunn, Postma and Pearson, 2001; Duchaine and Nakayama, 2005; Duchaine *et al.*, 2006; Li and Song, 2007; Riddoch *et al.*, 2008)。也有患者可以识别脸但不能识别其他物体 (Feinberg *et al.*, 1994; Moscovitch, Winocur and Behrmann, 1997; McMullen, Fisk and Phillips, 2000; Germine *et al.*, 2011)。对于脸盲的机理，有多种解释，有些脸盲可能确实是脸识别能力的特异变化 (Duchaine, 2006)。

后天获得的脸识别异常，可以是病变或外伤 (Yin, 1970; Meadow *et al.*, 1974; Landis *et al.*, 1986; Barton *et al.*, 2002; Bouvier and Engel, 2006; Schiltz *et al.*, 2006; Steeves *et al.*, 2006)。右脑单侧外伤就可以导致脸盲。如果可以在脑成像观察到病变部位，有助于了解参与脸识别的脑区 (Riddoch *et al.*, 2008)。这些可以与在正常人脑进行的核磁共振成像、外科手术人脑

电生理记录相辅相成 (Kanwisher, McDermott and Chun, 1997; Tsao *et al.*, 2003; Barraclough and Perret, 2011)。

双生子研究显示脸识别能力有高度遗传性 (Polk *et al.*, 2007; Wilmer *et al.*, 2010; Zhu *et al.*, 2010)。先天脸盲 (congenital prosopagnosia, CP), 也称为发育性或遗传性脸盲 (OMIM 610382), 最初在1976年被报道 (McConachie, 1976) 是对脸的视觉学习和识别的选择性损害, 缺乏任何可以检测到的神经损伤 (Behrmann and Avidan, 2005; Damasio *et al.*, 1990; Duchaine and Nakayama, 2006b; Gruter *et al.*, 2008; Kress and Daum, 2003; McConachie, 1976; Nunn *et al.*, 2001; Susilo and Duchaine, 2013)。问卷式的筛选方法 (Kennerknecht, 2021; Kennerknecht *et al.*, 2006; Kennerknecht *et al.*, 2008a; Kennerknecht *et al.*, 2007) 与行为测试 (Bowles *et al.*, 2009) 都估计一般人群 CP 发病率在 1.8 to 2.9%, 全球估计数千万 CP 个体。家系研究 (De Haan, 1999; Dobel *et al.*, 2007; Duchaine *et al.*, 2007; Galaburda and Duchaine, 2003; Grueter *et al.*, 2007; Johnen *et al.*, 2014; Kennerknecht *et al.*, 2006; Kennerknecht *et al.*, 2008b; Kennerknecht *et al.*, 2007; Lee *et al.*, 2010; McConachie, 1976; Schmalzl *et al.*, 2008) 和双生子研究 (McKone and Palermo, 2010; Polk *et al.*, 2007; Wilmer *et al.*, 2010; Zhu *et al.*, 2010) 提示 CP 与脸识别能力具有高度遗传性。家谱分析观察到简单的常染色体显性遗传模式 (De Haan, 1999; Duchaine *et al.*, 2007; Grueter *et al.*, 2007; Kennerknecht *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2010; Schmalzl *et al.*, 2008), 显示单个基因的突变可以导致脸识别缺陷。这些表明经典遗传学和基因组学可以用于研究脸识别。

11A4 脸识别的分子遗传学研究

对人类疾病的遗传研究非常成功 (Gusella *et al.*, 1983; Schrott *et al.*, 1972; Tsui *et al.*, 1985)。对于用遗传学研究的可行性来说, 没有必要假定人类认知与疾病有根本的差别。遗传学提供了可以研究人类认知的无创途径。近十年来, 我们实验室进行了几次人类认知的全基因组相关研究 (GWAS), 从记忆、社会从众性、到视觉认知的感知切换和下行控制 (Chen *et al.*, 2018a; Chen *et al.*, 2018b; Zhu *et al.*, 2019; Zhu *et al.*, 2016; Zhu *et al.*, 2018; Zhu *et al.*, 2020)。虽然我们发现了相关的标记, 但我们不知道涵盖标记的基因、或标记附近的基因与所研究的认知有没有因果关系。遗传学上, 大家系的连锁分析成功地找到人类疾病的基因突变。因此, 我们决定进行人类遗传分析, 寻找影响脸盲的基因 (Sun *et al.*, 2024)。

我们从一个含 18 位日常生活中识别脸困难的大家系出发, 发现了一个 CP 罹患基因 (*MCTP2*), 编码“多重 C2 区域跨膜 2”蛋白质。进一步研究获得更多证据: 1) 连锁分析常染色体显性 CP 家庭 A 发现位于 15q26.1-q26.2 的 CP 位点; 2) 一个 *MCTP2* 基因的特有突变 c.2147T>G (p.I716S) 是 A 家系中在 MCR 全基因组测序找到的唯一完全与 CP 共分离的可以改变蛋白质序列的突变; 3) 从 2904 人组成的队列中找到的 75 位脸识别困难的个体中 7 位脸盲者中发现 *MCTP2* 基因 5 个罕见突变; 4) 这 7 位脸盲者中, 4 位的家族成员愿被分析, 皆显示基因型与表型的相关性; 5) 1757 受试者的另一队列有 16 位携带与第 4 点里面 3 位脸盲个体相同移码缺失突变 c.239delG (p.S80fs), 其中 14 愿被进一步分析。14 位携带者日常脸识别行为不同于来自同一队列的 19 位非携带者; 6) 14 位的 4 位有无疑的脸盲, 其中两个家系愿被分析, 结果都支持 c.239delG (p.S80fs) 与脸盲相关, 进一步的支持来自更多家系, 他们发展了明确的策略用非脸的线索克服其脸识别困难; 7) 在 1928 位组成的队列基因相关分析也检测到了 *MCTP2* 基因罕见等位基因与脸识别能力的相关性; 8) 在神经成像研究中, *MCTP2* 基因突变的家族成员脸识别缺陷与 rFFA 脑区对个体脸反应异常相关联。

MCTP2 基因编码的蛋白质有 3 个 C2 区域和两个跨膜区, 类似参与突触传递的蛋白质 (Shin *et al.*, 2005)。其 C2 区域结合 Ca^{2+} (Shin *et al.*, 2005)。很多有 Ca^{2+} 结合 C2 区域的蛋白质参与膜和囊泡转运, 在神经传递其关键作用 (Cho and Stahelin, 2006; Shupliakov and Brodin, 2010)。从成千样本得到的基因表达微阵列资料显示 *MCTP2* 基因表达于人脑, 包括颞叶 (McCall *et al.*, 2011)。被脸选择性一致可靠地激活的脑区 FFA, 是颞叶皮层纺锤回的一个小

区。人脑蛋白图谱显示了 MTCP2 蛋白质表达和分布概括, 包括在纺锤回(Sjostedt et al., 2020)。

当然, 高级认知需要很多细胞和分子。*MCTP2* 不会是唯一参与 CP 的基因。从 2904 人队列找到的 75 位 CP 患者中只有 7 位携带 *MCTP2* 基因突变。从 1928 人队列中女性脸识别能力与 *MCTP2* 罕见等位基因没有相关性。更多的连锁研究将有帮助。

我们发现更多家庭没有遗传基础, 这需要更多分析。无假设的基因组分析可以考虑用下一代基因组分析, 包括常见和罕见遗传变异, 需要大样本, 超过百万人, 找到更多遗传线索, 也验证我们有关人脸识别的 *MCTP2* 结果。

参考文献

- Afraz, S.R., Kiani, R., and Esteky, H. (2006). Microstimulation of inferotemporal cortex influences face categorization. *Nature* 442, 692-695. Afraz SR, Kiani R and Esteky H (2006) Microstimulation of inferotemporal cortex influences face categorization. *Nature* 422:692-695.
- Allison T, Puce A, Spencer DD and McCarthy G (1999) Electrophysiological studies of human face perception. I: Potentials generated in occipitotemporal cortex by face and nonface stimuli. *Cerebral Cortex* 9:415-430.
- Barracough NE and Perrett DI (2011) from single cells to social perception. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 366:1739-1752.
- Bartrip J, Morton J and De Schonen S (2001) Responses to mother's face in 3-week to 5-month-old infants. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology* 19:219-232.
- Bate, S., Adams, A., Bennetts, R., and Line, H. (2019). Developmental prosopagnosia with concurrent topographical difficulties: A case report and virtual reality training programme. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* 29:1290-1312.
- Bate, S., Cook, S.J., Duchaine, B., Tree, J.J., Burns, E.J., and Hodgson, T.L. (2014). Intranasal inhalation of oxytocin improves face processing in developmental prosopagnosia. *Cortex* 50: 55-63.
- Baylis, G.C., Rolls, E.T., and Leonard, C.M. (1985). Selectivity between faces in the responses of a population of neurons in the cortex in the superior temporal sulcus of the monkey. *Brain Research* 342:91-102.
- Behrmann, M., and Avidan, G. (2005). Congenital prosopagnosia: face-blind from birth. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 9:180-187.
- Bentin, S., Degutis, J.M., D'Esposito, M., and Robertson, L.C. (2007). Too many trees to see the forest: performance, event-related potential, and functional magnetic resonance imaging manifestations of integrative congenital prosopagnosia. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 19:132-146.
- Bodamer J (1947) Die prosopagnosie. *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten* 179:6-53.
- Bowles, D.C., McKone, E., Dawel, A., Duchaine, B., Palermo, R., Schmalzl, L., Rivolta, D., Wilson, C.E., and Yovel, G. (2009). Diagnosing prosopagnosia: effects of ageing, sex, and participant-stimulus ethnic match on the Cambridge Face Memory Test and Cambridge Face Perception Test. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 26:423-455.
- Bruce CJ, Desimone R and Gross CG (1981) Visual properties of neurons in a polysensory area in superior temporal sulcus of the macaque. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 46:369-384.
- Bruce, V., and Young, A. (1986). Understanding face recognition. *British Journal of Psychology* 77 (Pt 3):305-327.
- Bruce V, Campbell RN, Doherty-Sneddon G, Import A, Langton S, McAuley S and Wright R (2000) Testing face processing skills in children. *British Journal of Developmental*

- Psychology* 18:319-333.
- Burianova, H., Lee, Y., Grady, C.L., and Moscovitch, M. (2013). Age-related dedifferentiation and compensatory changes in the functional network underlying face processing. *Neurobiology of Aging* 34:2759-2767.
- Bushnell IW, Sai F and Mullin JT (1989) Neonatal recognition of the mother's face. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology* 7:3-15.
- Busigny, T., and Rossion, B. (2010). Acquired prosopagnosia abolishes the face inversion effect. *Cortex* 46:965-981.
- Carbon, C.C., Gruter, T., Weber, J.E., and Lueschow, A. (2007). Faces as objects of non-expertise: processing of thatcherised faces in congenital prosopagnosia. *Perception* 36:1635-1645.
- Carbon, C.C., Gruter, T., Gruter, M., Weber, J.E., and Lueschow, A. (2010). Dissociation of facial attractiveness and distinctiveness processing in congenital prosopagnosia. *Visual Cognition* 18:641-654.
- Chang L and Tsao DY (2017) The code for facial identity in the primate brain. *Cell* 169:1013-1028.
- Chen, B.Q., Zhu, Z.J., Na, R., Fang, W., Zhang, W.X., Zhou, Q., Zhou, S.B., Lei, H., Huang, A.L., Chen, T.M., *et al.* (2018a). Genomic analyses of visual cognition: perceptual rivalry and top-down control. *Journal of Neuroscience* 38:9668-9678.
- Chen, B.Q., Zhu, Z.J., Wang, Y.Y., Ding, X.H., Guo, X.B., He, M.G., Fang, W., Zhou, Q., Zhou, S.B., Lei, H., *et al.* (2018b). Nature vs. nurture in human sociality: multi-level genomic analyses of social conformity. *Journal of Human Genetics* 63:605-619.
- Chitty, A.J., Perrett, D.I., Mistlin, A.J., and Potter, D.D. (1985). Visual Cells in the Temporal Cortex Selectively Responsive to the Sight of Hands Manipulating Objects. *Perception* 14:A15-A15.
- Cho, W., and Stahelin, R.V. (2006). Membrane binding and subcellular targeting of C2 domains. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids* 1761:838-849.
- Clark VP, Keil K, Maisog JM, Courtney S, Ungerleider LG and Haxby JV (1996) Functional magnetic resonance imaging of human visual cortex during face matching: a comparison with positron emission tomography. *NeuroImage* 4:1-15.
- Crawford, J.R., and Garthwaite, P.H. (2002). Investigation of the single case in neuropsychology: confidence limits on the abnormality of test scores and test score differences. *Neuropsychologia* 40:1196-1208.
- Crawford, J.R., Garthwaite, P.H., and Porter, S. (2010). Point and interval estimates of effect sizes for the case-controls design in neuropsychology: rationale, methods, implementations, and proposed reporting standards. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 27:245-260.
- Crawford, J.R., and Howell, D.C. (1998). Comparing an individual's test score against norms derived from small samples. *Clinical Neuropsychologist* 12:482-486.
- Dalrymple, K.A., and Palermo, R. (2016). Guidelines for studying developmental prosopagnosia in adults and children. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Review of Cognitive Sciences* 7:73-87.
- Damasio, A.R., Tranel, D., and Damasio, H. (1990). Face agnosia and the neural substrates of memory. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 13:89-109.
- de Haan EH (1999) A familial factor in the development of face recognition deficits. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* 21: 312-315.
- de Haan M, Johnson MH, Maurer D and Perrett DI (2001) Recognition of individual faces and average face prototype by 1- and 3-month-old infants. *Cognitive Development* 16:1-20.
- Dennett, H.W., McKone, E., Tavashmi, R., Hall, A., Pidcock, M., Edwards, M., and Duchaine, B.

- (2012). The Cambridge Car Memory Test: a task matched in format to the Cambridge Face Memory Test, with norms, reliability, sex differences, dissociations from face memory, and expertise effects. *Behavioral Research Methods* 44:587-605.
- Desimone R, Albright TD, Gross CG and Bruce CJ (1984) Stimulus-selective properties of inferior temporal neurons in the macaque. *Journal of Neuroscience* 4:2051-2062.
- Dinkelacker, V., Gruter, M., Klaver, P., Gruter, T., Specht, K., Weis, S., Kennerknecht, I., Elger, C.E., and Fernandez, G. (2011). Congenital prosopagnosia: multistage anatomical and functional deficits in face processing circuitry. *Journal of Neurology* 258:770-782.
- Djurovic, S., Le Hellard, S., Kahler, A.K., Jonsson, E.G., Agartz, I., Steen, V.M., Hall, H., Wang, A.G., Rasmussen, H.B., Melle, I., *et al.* (2009). Association of MCTP2 gene variants with schizophrenia in three independent samples of Scandinavian origin (SCOPE). *Psychiatry Research* 168:256-258.
- Dobel, C., Bolte, J., Aicher, M., and Schweinberger, S.R. (2007). Prosopagnosia without apparent cause: overview and diagnosis of six cases. *Cortex* 43:718-733.
- Dricot, L., Sorger, B., Schiltz, C., Goebel, R., and Rossion, B. (2008). The roles of “face” and “non-face” areas during individual face perception: Evidence by fMRI adaptation in a brain-damaged prosopagnosic patient. *NeuroImage* 40:318-332.
- Dubois J, de Berker AO and Tsao DY (2015) Single-unit recordings in the macaque face patch system reveal limitations of fMRI MVPA. *Journal of Neuroscience* 35:2791-2802.
- Duchaine, B.C., and Weidenfeld, A. (2003). An evaluation of two commonly used tests of unfamiliar face recognition. *Neuropsychologia* 41:713-720.
- Duchaine, B.C., and Nakayama, K. (2004). Developmental prosopagnosia and the Benton Facial Recognition Test. *Neurology* 62:1219-1220.
- Duchaine B and Nakayama K (2005) Dissociations of face and object recognition in developmental prosopagnosia. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 17:249-261.
- Duchaine, B., and Nakayama, K. (2006a). The Cambridge Face Memory Test: results for neurologically intact individuals and an investigation of its validity using inverted face stimuli and prosopagnosic participants. *Neuropsychologia* 44:576-585.
- Duchaine, B.C., and Nakayama, K. (2006b). Developmental prosopagnosia: a window to content-specific face processing. *Current Opinion of Neurobiology* 16:166-173.
- Duchaine B, Yovel G, Butterworth E and Nakayama K (2006) Prosopagnosia as an impairment to face-specific mechanisms: Elimination of the alternative hypotheses in a developmental case. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 23:714-747.
- Duchaine B, Germine L and Nakayama K (2007) Family resemblance: ten family members with prosopagnosia and within-class object agnosia. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 24:419-430.
- Dunning, D., Johnson, K., Ehrlinger, J., and Kruger, J. (2003). Why people fail to recognize their own incompetence. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 12:83-87.
- Dzhelyova MP, Ellison A and Atkinson AP (2010) Event-related repetitive TMS reveals distinct, critical roles for right OFA and bilateral posterior STS in judging the trustworthiness and sex of faces. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 22:203-211.
- Esins, J., Schultz, J., Stemper, C., Kennerknecht, I., and Bulthoff, I. (2016). Face perception and test reliabilities in congenital prosopagnosia in seven tests. *Perception* 7:2041669515625797.
- Espino-Saldaña, A.E., Durán-Ríos, K., Olivares-Hernandez, E., Rodríguez-Ortiz, R., Arellano-Carbajal, F., and Martínez-Torres, A. (2020). Temporal and spatial expression of zebrafish mctp genes and evaluation of frameshift alleles of mctp2b. *Gene* 738:144371.
- Farah MJ (1996) Is face recognition “special”? Evidence from neuropsychology. *Behavioral Brain*

Research 76:181-189.

- Farah MJ, Levinson KL and Klein KL (1995) Face perception and within category discrimination in prosopagnosia. *Neuropsychologia* 33:661-674.
- Feinberg TE, Schindler RJ, Ochoa E, Kwan PC and Farah MJ (1994) Associative visual agnosia and alexia without prosopagnosia. *Cortex* 30:395-412.
- Freiwald WA, Tsao DY and Livingstone MS (2009) A face feature space in the macaque temporal lobe. *Nature Neuroscience* 12:1187-1196.
- Freiwald WA and Tsao DY (2010) Functional compartmentalization and viewpoint generalization within the macaque face-processing system. *Science* 330:845-851.
- Freiwald, W., Duchaine, B., and Yovel, G. (2016). Face Processing Systems: From Neurons to Real-World Social Perception. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 39:325-346.
- Gao, X., Vuong, Q.C., and Rossion, B. (2019). The cortical face network of the prosopagnosic patient PS with fast periodic stimulation in fMRI. *Cortex* 119:528-542.
- Gathers AD, Bhatt R, Corbly CR, Farley AB and Joseph JE (2004) Developmental shifts in cortical loci for face and object recognition. *NeuroReport* 15:1549-1553.
- Genc, O., Dickman, D.K., Ma, W., Tong, A., Fetter, R.D., and Davis, G.W. (2017). MCTP is an ER-resident calcium sensor that stabilizes synaptic transmission and homeostatic plasticity. *Elife* 6:22904.
- Germine, L.T., Duchaine, B., and Nakayama, K. (2011). Where cognitive development and aging meet: face learning ability peaks after age 30. *Cognition* 118:201-210
- Germine L, Cashdollar N, Duzel E and Duchaine B (2011) A new selective developmental deficit: Impaired object recognition with normal face recognition. *Cortex* 47:598-607.
- Goh, J.O., Suzuki, A., and Park, D.C. (2010). Reduced neural selectivity increases fMRI adaptation with age during face discrimination. *Neuroimage* 51:336-344.
- Golarai G, Ghahremani DG, Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Reiss A, Eberhardt JL, Gabrieli JDE and Grill-Spector K (2007) Differential development of high-level cortex correlates with category-specific recognition memory. *Nature Neuroscience* 10:512-522.
- Goren CC, Sarty M and Wu PYK (1975) Visual following and pattern discrimination of facelike stimuli by newborn infants. *Pediatrics* 56:544-549.
- Grill-Spector, K., and Malach, R. (2001). fMRI-adaptation: a tool for studying the functional properties of human cortical neurons. *Acta Psychologica (Amsterdam)* 107:293-321.
- Grill-Spector, K., and Malach, R. (2004). The human visual cortex. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 27:649-677.
- Grill-Spector, K., Henson, R., and Martin, A. (2006). Repetition and the brain: neural models of stimulus-specific effects. *Trends in Cognitive Science* 10:14-23.
- Gross CG, Bender DB and Rocha-Miranda CE (1969) Visual receptive fields of neurons in inferotemporal cortex of the monkey. *Science* 166:1303-1306.
- Gross CG, Rocha-Miranda CE and Bender DB (1972) Visual properties of neurons in inferotemporal cortex of the Macaque. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 35:96-111.
- Grueter M, Grueter T, Bell V, Halligan PW, Horst J, Sperling K, Halligan PW, Ellis HD and Kennerknecht I (2007) Hereditary prosopagnosia: the first case series. *Cortex* 43:734-749.
- Gruter, T., Gruter, M., and Carbon, C.C. (2008). Neural and genetic foundations of face recognition and prosopagnosia. *Journal of Neuropsychology* 2:79-97.
- Gruter, T., Gruter, M., Bell, V., and Carbon, C.C. (2009). Visual mental imagery in congenital prosopagnosia. *Neuroscience Letters* 453:135-140.
- Gusella, J.F., Wexler, N.S., Conneally, P.M., Naylor, S.L., Anderson, M.A., Tanzi, R.E., Watkins,

- P.C., Ottina, K., Wallace, M.R., Sakaguchi, A.Y., *et al.* (1983). A polymorphic DNA marker genetically linked to Huntington's disease. *Nature* 306:234-238.
- Hadjikhani, N., and de Gelder, B. (2002). Neural basis of prosopagnosia: an fMRI study. *Human Brain Mapping* 16:176-182.
- Haith MM, Bergman TT and Moore MJ (1977) Eye contact and face scanning in early infancy. *Science* 198:853–855.
- Haxby JV, Horwitz B, Ungerleider LG, Maisog JM, Pietrini P and Grady CL (1994) The functional organization of human extrastriate cortex: a PET-rCBF study of selective attention to faces and locations. *Journal of Neuroscience* 14:6336-6353.
- Haxby, J.V., Hoffman, E.A., and Gobbini, M.I. (2000). The distributed human neural system for face perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 4:223-233.
- Heinzen, E.L., Neale, B.M., Traynelis, S.F., Allen, A.S., and Goldstein, D.B. (2015). The genetics of neuropsychiatric diseases: looking in and beyond the exome. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 38:47-68.
- Henke K, Schweinberger SR, Grigo A, Klos T and Sommer W (1998) Specificity of fact recognition: recognition of exemplars of non-face objects in prosopagnosia. *Cortex* 34:289-296.
- Henson, R.N., and Rugg, M.D. (2003). Neural response suppression, haemodynamic repetition effects, and behavioural priming. *Neuropsychologia* 41:263-270.
- Hung, C.C., Yen, C.C., Ciuchta, J.L., Papoti, D., Bock, N.A., Leopold, D.A., and Silva, A.C. (2015). Functional mapping of face-selective regions in the extrastriate visual cortex of the marmoset. *Journal of Neuroscience* 35:1160-1172.
- Johnen, A., Schmukle, S.C., Huttenbrink, J., Kischka, C., Kennerknecht, I., and Döbel, C. (2014). A family at risk: congenital prosopagnosia, poor face recognition and visuoperceptual deficits within one family. *Neuropsychologia* 58:52-63.
- Kanwisher NG, McDermott J and Chun MM (1997) The fusiform face area: A module in human extrastriate cortex specialized for face perception. *Journal of Neuroscience* 17:4302-4311.
- Kendrick KM and Baldwin BA (1987) Cells in temporal cortex of conscious sheep can respond preferentially to the sight of faces *Science* 236:448-450.
- Kendrick KM, Atkins K, Hinton MR, Broad KD, Fabre-Nys C and Keverne B (1995) Facial and vocal discrimination in sheep. *Animal Behavior* 49:1665–1676.
- Kendrick KM, Atkins K, Hinton MR, Heavens P and Keverne B (1996) Are faces special for sheep? Evidence from facial and object discrimination learning tests showing effects of inversion and social familiarity. *Behavioral Processes* 38:19-35.
- Kennerknecht, I. (2021). Prevalence of Hereditary Prosopagnosia – A Worldwide Survey. Münster: Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster.
- Kennerknecht I, Grueter T, Welling B, Wentzek S, Horst J, Edwards S and Grueter M (2006) First report of prevalence of non-syndromic hereditary prosopagnosia (HPA). *American Journal of Medical Genetics* 140:1617-1622.
- Kennerknecht I, Plümpe N, Edwards S and Raman R (2007) Hereditary prosopagnosia (HPA): the first report outside the Caucasian population. *Journal of Human Genetics* 52:230-236.
- Kennerknecht I, Ho NY and Wong VC (2008a) Prevalence of hereditary prosopagnosia (HPA) in Hong Kong Chinese population. *American Journal of Medical Genetics* 146A:2863-2870.
- Kennerknecht, I., Plümpe, N., and Welling, B. (2008b). Congenital prosopagnosia--a common hereditary cognitive dysfunction in humans. *Frontiers in Biosciences* 13:3150-3158.
- Kobatake E and Tanaka K (1994) Neuronal selectivities to complex object features in the ventral

- visual pathway of the macaque cerebral cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 71:856-867.
- Kreiman G, Koch C and Fried I (2000). Category specific visual responses of single neurons in the human medial temporal lobe. *Nature Neuroscience* 3:946-953.
- Kress, T., and Daum, I. (2003). Developmental prosopagnosia: a review. *Behavioral Neurology* 14:109-121.
- Kruger, J., and Dunning, D. (1999). Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. *Journal of Personal and Social Psychology* 77:1121-1134.
- Le Grand, R., Cooper, P.A., Mondloch, C.J., Lewis, T.L., Sagiv, N., de Gelder, B., and Maurer, D. (2006). What aspects of face processing are impaired in developmental prosopagnosia? *Brain and Cognition* 61:139-158.
- Lee Y, Duchaine B, Wilson H and Nakayama K (2010) Three cases of developmental prosopagnosia from one family: detailed neuropsychological and psychophysical investigation of face processing. *Cortex* 46:949-964.
- Lee, Y., Grady, C.L., Habak, C., Wilson, H.R., and Moscovitch, M. (2011). Face processing changes in normal aging revealed by fMRI adaptation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 23:3433-3447.
- Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25:1754-1760.
- Li XB and Song YY (2007) First congenital progopagnosia case reported in China. *Progress in Natural Sciences* 17:165-171.
- Liu J, Harris A and Kanwisher N (2010) Perception of face parts and face configuration: an fMRI study. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 22:203-211.
- Malach R, Reppas JB, Benson RB, Kwong KK, Jiang H, Kennedy WA, Ledden PJ, Brady TJ, Rosen BR and Tootell RBH (1995) Object-related activity revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging in human occipital cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 92:8135-8138.
- McCarthy G, Puce A, Gore J and Allison T (1997) Face-specific processing in the human fusiform gyrus. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 9:605-610.
- McCarthy G, Puce A, Belger A and Allison T (1999) Electrophysiological studies of human face perception: II. Response properties of face-specific potentials generated in occipitotemporal cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* 9:431-444.
- McConachie HR (1976) Developmental prosopagnosia. A single case report. *Cortex* 12: 76-82.
- McMullen P, Fisk JD and Phillips S (2000) Apperceptive agnosia and face recognition. *Neurocase* 6:403-414.
- McKone, E., and Palermo, R. (2010). A strong role for nature in face recognition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 107:4795-4796.
- McKone, E., Stokes, S., Liu, J., Cohan, S., Fiorentini, C., Pidcock, M., Yovel, G., Broughton, M., and Pelleg, M. (2012). A robust method of measuring other-race and other-ethnicity effects: the Cambridge Face Memory Test format. *PLoS One* 7:e47956.
- Moeller, S., Crapse, T., Chang, L., and Tsao, D.Y. (2017). The effect of face patch microstimulation on perception of faces and objects. *Nature Neuroscience* 20:743-752.
- Moeller S, Crapse T, Chang L and Tsao DY (2019) The effect of face patch microstimulation on perception of faces and objects. *Nature Neuroscience* 20:743-752.
- Moscovitch M, Winocur G and Behrmann M (1997) What is special about face recognition? Nineteen experiments on a person with visual object agnosia and dyslexia but normal face

- recognition. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 9:555-604.
- Myowa-Yamakoshia M, Yamaguchib MK, Tomonagac M, Tanakac M and Matsuzawac T (2005) Development of face recognition in infant chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). *Cognitive Development* 20:49-63.
- Nunn JA, Postma P and Pearson R (2001) Developmental prosopagnosia: Should it be taken at face value? *Neurocase* 7:15-27.
- Park, J., Carp, J., Kennedy, K.M., Rodrigue, K.M., Bischof, G.N., Huang, C.M., Rieck, J.R., Polk, T.A., and Park, D.C. (2012). Neural broadening or neural attenuation? Investigating age-related dedifferentiation in the face network in a large lifespan sample. *Journal of Neuroscience* 32:2154-2158.
- Parr LA (2011) The evolution of face processing in primates. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B Biological Sciences* 366:1764-1777.
- Pascalis O, de Schonen S, Morton J, Deruelle C and Fabre-Grenet M (1995) Mother's face recognition by neonates: A replication and an extension. *Infant Behavioral Development* 18:79-85.
- Pascalis O and Kelly DJ (2009) The origins of face processing in humans: phylogeny and ontogeny. *Psychological Sciences* 4:200-209.
- Perret DI, Rolls ET and Caan W (1982) Visual neurons responsive to faces in the monkey temporal cortex. *Experimental Brain Research* 47:329-342.
- Perrett DI, Smith PAJ, Potter DD, Mistlin AJ and Head AS (1984) Neurons responsive to faces in the temporal cortex: Studies of functional organization, sensitivity to identity and relation to perception. *Human Neurobiology* 3:197-208.
- Perrett DI, Smith PAJ, Potter DD, Mistlin AJ, Head AS, Milner AD and Jeeves MA (1985a) Visual cells in the temporal cortex sensitive to face view and gaze direction. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B* 223:293-317.
- Perrett DI, Smith PAJ, Mistlin AJ, Chitt AJ, Head AS, Potter DD, Broennimann R, Milner AD and Jeeves MA (1985b) Visual analysis of body movement by neurones in the temporal cortex of the macaque monkey: a preliminary report. *Brain Research* 16:153-170.
- Perrett DI, Mistlin AJ and Chitty AJ (1987) Visual cells responsive to faces *Trends in Neuroscience* 10: 358-364.
- Perrett DI, Mistlin AJ, Chitty AJ Smith PAJ, Potter DD Broennimann R and Harries MH (1988) Specialized face processing and hemispheric asymmetry in man and monkey: Evidence from single unit and reaction time studies. *Behavioral Brain Research* 29:245-258.
- Pinsk MA, Desimone K, Moore T, Gross CG and Kastner S (2005) Representations of faces and body parts in macaque temporal cortex: A functional MRI study. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 102:6996-7001.
- Pinsk MA, Arcaro M, Weiner KS, Kaljus JF, Inati SJ, Gross CG and Kastner S (2009) Neural representations of faces and body parts in macaque and human cortex: a comparative fMRI study. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 101:2581-2600.
- Pitcher D, Walsh V, Yovel G and Duchaine B (2007) TMS evidence for the involvement of the right occipital face area in early face processing. *Current Biology* 17:1566-1573.
- Pitcher D, Garrido L, Walsh V and Duchaine BC (2008) Transcranial magnetic stimulation disrupts the perception and embodiment of facial expressions. *Journal of Neuroscience* 28:8929-8933.
- Pitcher D, Charles L, Devlin JT, Walsh V and Duchaine B (2009) Triple dissociation of faces, bodies, and objects in extrastriate cortex. *Current Biology* 19:319-324.

- Polk TA, Park J, Smith MR and Park DC (2007) Nature versus nurture in ventral visual cortex: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of twins. *Journal of Neuroscience* 27:13921-13925.
- Puce A, Allison T, Asgari M, Gore JC and McCarthy G (1996) Differential sensitivity of human visual cortex to faces, letter strings, and textures: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Journal of Neuroscience* 16:5205-5215.
- Puce A, Allison T and McCarthy G (1999) Electrophysiological studies of human face perception. III: Effects of top-down processing on face-specific potentials. *Cerebral Cortex* 9:445-458.
- Qiu, L., Yu, H., and Liang, F. (2015). Multiple C2 domains transmembrane protein 1 is expressed in CNS neurons and possibly regulates cellular vesicle retrieval and oxidative stress. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 135:492-507.
- Rangarajan, V., Hermes, D., Foster, B.L., Weiner, K.S., Jacques, C., Grill-Spector, K., and Parvizi, J. (2014). Electrical stimulation of the left and right human fusiform gyrus causes different effects in conscious face perception. *Journal of Neuroscience* 34:12828-12836.
- Riddoch MJ, Johnston RA, Bracewell RM, Boutsen L and Humphreys GW (2008). Are faces special? A case of pure prosopagnosia. *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 25:3-26.
- Righart, R., Andersson, F., Schwartz, S., Mayer, E., and Vuilleumier, P. (2009). Top-down activation of fusiform cortex without seeing faces in prosopagnosia. *Cerebral Cortex* 20:1878-1890.
- Rolls ET (1984) Neurons in the cortex of the temporal lobe and in the amygdala of the monkey with responses selective for faces. *Human Neurobiology* 3:209-222.
- Rolls ET, Baylis GC and Leonard LM (1985) Role of low and high spatial frequencies in the face-selective responses of neurons in the cortex in the superior temporal sulcus in the monkey. *Vision Research* 25:1021-1035.
- Rosenfeld SA and Van Hoesen GW (1979) Face recognition in the rhesus monkey. *Neuropsychologia* 17:503-509.
- Rossion, B., Dricot, L., Goebel, R., and Busigny, T. (2011). Holistic face categorization in higher order visual areas of the normal and prosopagnosic brain: toward a non-hierarchical view of face perception. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 4:225.
- Rotshtein, P., Henson, R.N., Treves, A., Driver, J., and Dolan, R.J. (2005). Morphing Marilyn into Maggie dissociates physical and identity face representations in the brain. *Nature Neuroscience* 8:107-113.
- Ryan CME and Lea SEG (1994) Images of conspecifics as categories to be discriminated by pigeons and chickens: slides, video tapes, stuffed birds and live birds. *Behavioral Processes* 33:155-176.
- Saito H, Yukie M, Tanaka K, Hikosaka K, Fukada Y and Iwai E (1986) Integration of direction signals of image motion in the superior temporal sulcus of the macaque monkey. *Journal of Neuroscience* 6:145-157.
- Schiltz C and Rossion B (2006). Faces are represented holistically in the human occipito-temporal cortex. *Neuroimage* 32:1385-1394.
- Schiltz C, Sorger B, Caldara R, Ahmed F, Mayer E, Goebel R and Rossion B (2006). Impaired face discrimination in acquired prosopagnosia is associated with abnormal response to individual faces in the right middle fusiform gyrus. *Cerebral Cortex* 16:574-586.
- Schmalzl L, Palermo R and Coltheart M (2008) Cognitive heterogeneity in genetically based prosopagnosia: A family study. *Journal of Neuropsychology* 2:99-117.
- Sergent J, Ohta S and MacDonald B (1992) Functional neuroanatomy of face and object

- processing: a positron emission tomography study. *Brain* 115:15-36.
- Shah P, Gaule A, Sowden S, Bird G and Cook R (2015). The 20-item prosopagnosia index (PI20): a self-report instrument for identifying developmental prosopagnosia. *Royal Society of Open Sciences* 2:140343.
- Sheehan MJ and Tibbets EA (2011) Specialized face learning is associated with individual recognition in paper wasps. *Science* 334:1272-1275.
- Shin OH, Han W, Wang Y and Sudhof TC (2005). Evolutionarily conserved multiple C2 domain proteins with two transmembrane regions (MCTPs) and unusual Ca²⁺ binding properties. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 280:1641-1651.
- Shupliakov O and Brodin L (2010). Recent insights into the building and cycling of synaptic vesicles. *Experimental Cell Research* 316:1344-1350.
- Sjostedt E, Zhong W, Fagerberg L, Karlsson M, Mitsios N, Adori C, Oksvold P, Edfors F, Limiszewska A, Hikmet, , *et al.* (2020). An atlas of the protein-coding genes in the human, pig, and mouse brain. *Science* 367:essay5947.
- Slater A, von der Schulenburg C, Brown E, Badenoch M, Butterworth G, Parsons S and Samuels C (1998) Newborn infants prefer attractive faces. *Infant Behavioral Development* 21:345-354.
- Slater A, Bremner G, Johnson SP, Sherwood P, Hayes R, and Brown E (2000) Newborn infants' preferences for attractive faces: The role of internal and external facial features. *Infancy* 1:265-274.
- Srihasam K, Mandeville JB, Morocz IA, Sullivan KJ and Livingstone MS (2012) Behavioral and anatomical consequences of early versus late symbol training in macaques. *Neuron* 73:608-619.
- Stollhoff, R., Jost, J., Elze, T., and Kennerknecht, I. (2010). The early time course of compensatory face processing in congenital prosopagnosia. *PLoS One* 5:e11482.
- Stollhoff, R., Jost, J., Elze, T., and Kennerknecht, I. (2011). Deficits in long-term recognition memory reveal dissociated subtypes in congenital prosopagnosia. *PLoS One* 6:e15702.
- Sun Y, Men W, Fang W, Zhou E, Yang W, Li Z, Zheng H-F and Rao Y (2021). Human genetics of face recognition: discocoverly of MCTP2 mutations in humans with face blindness (congenital prosopagnosia). *medRxiv* 2021.09.27.21263237 (*Genetics* 227:iyae047).
- Susilo, T., and Duchaine, B. (2013). Advances in developmental prosopagnosia research. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 23:423-429.
- Tanaka K (2003) Columns for complex visual object features in the inferotemporal cortex: clustering of cells with similar but slightly different stimulus selectivities. *Cerebral Cortex* 13:90-99.
- Tate AJ, Fisher H, Leigh AE and Kendrick KM (2006) Behavioral and neurophysiological evidence for face identity and face emotion processing in animals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B Biological Sciences* 361:2155-2172.
- Thompson P (1980) Margaret Thatcher: a new illusion. *Perception* 9:483-484.
- Tsao DY, Freiwald WA, Knutsen TA, Mandeville JB and Tootell RB (2003) Faces and objects in macaque cerebral cortex. *Nature Neuroscience* 6:989-95.
- Tsao DY, Freiwald WA, Tootell RB and Livingstone MS (2006) A cortical region consisting entirely of face selective cells. *Science* 311:670-674.
- Tsao DY and Livingstone MS (2008). Mechanisms of face perception. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 31:411-437.
- Tsao DY, Moellet S and Freiwald WA (2008) comparing face patch systems in macaques and humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 105:19514-19519.

- Tsui LC, Buchwald M, Barker D, Braman JC, Knowlton R, Schumm JW, Eiberg H, Mohr J, Kennedy D, Plavsis N *et al.* (1985). Cystic fibrosis locus defined by a genetically linked polymorphic DNA marker. *Science* 230:1054-1057.
- Tzourio-Mazoyer N, de Schonen S, Crivello F, Reutter B, Aujard Y and Mazoyer BM (2002) Neural correlates of woman face processing by 2-month-old infants. *NeuroImage* 15:454-461.
- Verfaillie K, Huysegems S, De Graef P, and Van Belle G (2014). Impaired holistic and analytic face processing in congenital prosopagnosia: Evidence from the eye-contingent mask/window paradigm. *Visual Cognition* 22:503-521.
- von Kriegstein K, Dogan O, Gruter M, Giraud AL, Kell CA, Gruter T, Kleinschmidt A, and Kiebel SJ (2008). Simulation of talking faces in the human brain improves auditory speech recognition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 105:6747-6752.
- Walsh V and Cowey A (2000) transcranial magnetic stimulation and cognitive neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 1:73-79.
- Walton GE, Bower NJA and Bower TGR (1992) Recognition of familiar faces by newborns. *Infant Behavioral Development* 15:265-269.
- Wang G, Tanaka K and Tanifuji M (1996) Optical imaging of functional organization in the monkey inferotemporal cortex. *Science* 272:1665-1668.
- Wang G, Tanifuji M and Tanaka K (1998) Functional architecture in monkey inferotemporal cortex revealed by *in vivo* optical imaging. *Neuroscience Research* 32:33-46.
- White, D., and Burton, A.M. (2022). Individual differences and the multidimensional nature of face perception. *Nature Reviews Psychology* 1:287-300.
- Wilmer JB, Germine L, Chabris CF, Chatterjee G, Williams M, Loken E, Nakayama K and Duchaine B (2010). Human face recognition ability is specific and highly heritable. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 107: 5238–5241.
- Yamane S, Kaji S and Kawano K (1988). What facial features activate face neurons in the inferotemporal cortex of the monkey. *Experimental Brain Research* 73:209-214.
- Yin RK (1969) Looking at upside-down faces. *Journal of Experimental Psychology* 81:141-145.
- Yovel G and Kanwisher N (2004). Face perception: domain specific, not process specific. *Neuron* 44:889-898.
- Yovel G and Kanwisher, N (2005). The neural basis of the behavioral face-inversion effect. *Current Biology* 15:2256-2262.
- Zebrowitz L, Ward, N, Boshyan J, Gutchess A and Hadjikhani N (2016). Dedifferentiated face processing in older adults is linked to lower resting state metabolic activity in fusiform face area. *Brain Research* 1644:22-31.
- Zhang J, Li X, Song Y and Liu J (2012). The fusiform face area is engaged in holistic, not parts-based, representation of faces. *PLoS One* 7:e40390.
- Zhao Y, Zhen Z, Liu X, Song Y and Liu J (2018). The neural network for face recognition: Insights from an fMRI study on developmental prosopagnosia. *Neuroimage* 169:151-161.
- Zhao YF, Li JG, Liu XQ, Song YY, Wang RS, Yang ZT and Liu J (2016). Altered spontaneous neural activity in the occipital face area reflects behavioral deficits in developmental prosopagnosia. *Neuropsychologia* 89:344-355.
- Zhou X and Jenkins R (2020). Dunning-Kruger effects in face perception. *Cognition* 203:104345.
- Zhu Q, Song Y, Hu S, Li X, Tian M, Zhen Z, Dong Q, Kanwisher N and Liu J (2010) Heritability of the specific cognitive ability of face perception. *Current Biology* 20:137-142.
- Zhu Z, Chen B, Na R, Fang W, Zhang W, Zhou Q, Zhou S, Lei H, Huang A, Chen T *et al.* (2019). Heritability of human visual contour integration-an integrated genomic study. *European*

Journal of Human Genetics 27:1867-1875.

Zhu Z, Chen BQ, Na R, Fang W, Zhang WX, Zhou Q, Zhou SB, Lei H, Huang AL, Chen TM, Gao TM, Chen L, Ni DS, Gu, YP, Liu JN, Chen JY, Rao Y and Fang F (2020). Heritability of human visual contour integration--an integrated genomic study. *European Journal of Human Genetic* 27:1867-1875.